

Literature

International Trade Theories

- **Product Life Cycle of international trade** (Vernon, 1966; Krugman, 1979)
 - Innovation → competitive advantage necessary to compete on the international market
- **Endogenous growth models** (Grossman and Helpman, 1989)
 - Innovation → entering foreign market
 - OR
 - Entering foreign market → innovation: “learning by exporting”

Analyses at the Firm Level

- **Wakelin (1998), Roper and Love (2002) and Bleaney and Wakelin (2002):**
 - Different determinants of exports for innovators and non-innovators
- **Belderbos et al. (2009), Cassiman and Martinez-Ros (2007):**
 - Product innovation improves export
- **Hirsch and Bijaou (1985), Ito and Pucik (1993), Barrios et al. (2003) and Kirbach and Schmiedeberg (2008):**
 - Positive effect of R&D intensity on export performance
- **Lachenmaier and Wössmann (2006) and Van Bevern and Vandebussche (2010): IV**
 - Positive effect of innovation on export

Contribution

- **Effect of R&D on export?**
- **Reverse causality?**
 - IV estimation using R&D subsidy information
 - Direct effect between R&D subsidies and R&D (David et al., 2000; Czarnitzki et al., 2007; Hussinger, 2008)
 - Indirect effect of innovation policy on exports through R&D
- **Take MNE behavior into consideration while investigating the relationship between R&D and export**
 - Split sample: Domestic firms VS MNEs

Domestic firms:

→ Positive effect of R&D on export

MNEs:

- International intra-group transfer (not depending on R&D success of firm)
 - Effect depending on concentration and location of R&D facilities
 - Belgium: hosts some important R&D subsidiaries for large MNEs (Barco, Van de Velde, Alcatel-Lucent)
- Positive effect of R&D on export

Data

- **Data Sources**
 - Flemish Community Innovation Survey (2005 & 2007): info on innovation
 - BELFIRST database: info on balance sheets
 - ICAROS database: info on R&D project subsidies
- **Data**
 - 2131 observations (Pooled cross section)
- **Variables**
 - Dependent variable: export intensity
 - Independent variables: R&D personnel/ employment
 - Control variables: productivity, skill level, capital intensity, size, age, MNE, year, industry dummies.
 - Instrumental variable: number of subsidized R&D projects ended in the past 3 years and the amount of subsidized R&D projects / number of R&D projects ended in the past 3 years

	Full Sample		Domestic Owned firms		MNEs	
	(2131 observations)		(1485 observations)		(646 observations)	
	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.
EXPINT	34.58	36.41	26.50	32.13	53.16	38.80
RNDEMP	0.05	0.11	0.05	0.11	0.05	0.10
SIZE	107.16	205.14	59.62	109.47	216.42	306.99
AGE	31.11	25.63	30.11	25.24	33.41	26.36
PROD	67.82	46.74	59.43	34.40	87.12	62.89
SKILLS	42.73	16.67	38.25	12.87	53.04	19.59
CAPINT	39.21	84.27	34.95	53.93	49.01	128.92
Number of subsidized projects	0.19	0.75	0.15	0.50	0.29	1.12
Average size of subsidized projects	15.08	80.04	6.67	32.24	34.43	135.01

Results and conclusion

TOBIT	full sample	domestic	MNE
	log(expint)	log(expint)	log(expint)
RNDEMP	8.892*** (0.969)	9.761*** (1.265)	7.070*** (1.440)

first stage OLS	full sample	domestic	MNE
	RNDemp	RNDemp	RNDemp
number of sub. proj.	0.029*** (0.006)	0.035*** (0.008)	0.023*** (0.008)
average size of subs. Proj.	0.136*** (0.136)	0.299* (0.156)	0.132*** (0.050)

IV TOBIT	full sample	domestic	MNE
	log(expint)	log(expint)	log(expint)
RNDEMP	16.552*** (3.334)	32.281*** (5.687)	8.636** (3.669)

- R&D is important for the export activity of a firm
- Policies targeted towards R&D may indirectly help to increase the export performance of the economy
- Domestic firms vs MNEs:
 - Positive effect of R&D on export for both domestic firms and MNEs
 - Once accounting for possible endogeneity of R&D, the marginal effect of domestic firms is larger than for MNEs